



A project that evaluates the use of DNA from aquatic invasive species and underwater video surveillance as practical tools for their early detection while at the same time engaging in citizen science based partnerships.

Environmental DNA, Underwater Video Surveillance and Citizen Science

Technical Project Report

**USEPA-Great Lakes Restoration Initiative Project
Final Technical Report**

Assistance Agreement Number:
F14AP00482

Project Title:
Citizen Science Environmental DNA & Video Surveillance Pilot Project

Reporting Period Covered:
Final Report

Date:
November 28, 2017

Funded by:
United State Environmental Protection Agency – Great Lakes Restoration Initiative

Prepared by:
Rob Williams, Zach Bengtsson and Nathan Fedrizzi
The St. Lawrence Eastern Lake Ontario
Partnership for Regional Invasive Species Management



c/o
The Nature Conservancy, Central and Western NY
269 Ouderkirk Road
Pulaski, NY 13142
315.387.3600 ext. 7725
rwilliams@tnc.org



Acknowledgements:

We wish to thank the stakeholders, partners, citizen scientists and volunteers who provided assistance over the course of this project; their project review, expert opinion and guidance was key to the success of this project. We also wish to thank the Conservancy staff who worked on all aspects of this project; including grant administration, finance and field operations, human resources and especially the seasonal field employees Zach Bengtsson and Nate Fedrizzi who completed a majority of the work on this project. We also wish to thank the following individuals and organizations for assistance and guidance with this project:

The SLELO PRISM Partners

The Nature Conservancy CWNY

Great Lakes Restoration Initiative – United States Fish & Wildlife Service

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation - Invasive Species Coordination Unit

Finger Lakes-Lake Ontario Watershed Protection Alliance

Dr. James Casey, Cornell University

Dr. Donna Cassidy-Hanley, Cornell University

Gregg Sargis, The Nature Conservancy, Project Advisor

Dr. Darran Crabtree, The Nature Conservancy, Project Advisor

This project was funded by the USEPA – Great Lakes Restoration Initiative

Contents

Acknowledgements.....	3
Overview.....	5
Citizen Science.....	5
Focus Areas.....	6
Salmon River.....	6
Oswego River.....	6
Chaumont Bay and Chaumont River.....	6
French Creek.....	6
Collaboration.....	6
Project Objectives and Accomplishments.....	7
Objective 1. Engage citizen scientists towards a better understanding of environmental Environmental DNA as an early detection tool.....	7
Environmental DNA.....	8
eDNA Sampling Protocol.....	8
Laboratory Analysis.....	9
Genetic Markers.....	9
Reporting.....	10
eDNA Results.....	11
Objective 2. Engage citizen scientists towards a better understanding of underwater video technology as a practical early detection tool.....	11
Underwater Video Surveillance.....	11
Site Selection.....	12
Video Results.....	12
Discussion.....	13
Objective 3. Develop a Citizen Science Reference Guide for eDNA and underwater video early detection surveillance.....	13
Financials.....	14
Field Operations.....	14
Conclusions.....	14
<i>Table 1. Target species for eDNA analysis.....</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>Table 2. Field equipment needed for project.....</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>Table 3. PCR plate layout example.....</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>Table 4. Quantity of target species genetic copies in tabular format.....</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>Table 5. All positive test results.....</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>Table 6 – Summary of species observed using underwater video during the project term.....</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>Figure 1. Citizen science volunteer assisting with sample collection.....</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>Figure 2. Amplification plot.....</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>Figure 3. Video recording equipment.....</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>Figure 4. Underwater video clip of yellow perch.....</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>Figure 5. Underwater video segment of smallmouth bass.....</i>	<i>13</i>

Citizen Science Environmental DNA & Video Surveillance Pilot Project

Overview

The purpose of this project was to utilize technology that uses DNA from aquatic invasive species along with underwater video surveillance (UVS) to assess the feasibility of both as practical tools in the early detection of aquatic invasive species while engaging in citizen science based partnerships. Environmental DNA (eDNA) has become a popular research subject among academic institutions. This project was guided by the need to determine if eDNA and UVS can be practical tools (rather than research subjects) for the early detection toolbox. To be practical both must be relatively easy to use and costs must not be exuberant.

Citizen Science

The growing interest of public participation in scientific fieldwork includes citizen science and volunteer monitoring in which members of the public engage in the process of making field observations, scientific investigations, collecting data and/or interpreting results. This type of organizational and citizen collaboration yields new knowledge by providing access to more and different observations and data than traditional scientific research¹. Under this proposal and by utilizing volunteer citizen science teams we expanded our geographic range for early detection of aquatic invasive species, engaged new partnerships and demonstrated that new technologies can be effectively used in early detection efforts by layman.

1

<http://www.birds.cornell.edu/citscitoolkit/about/defining-citizen-science/>

Focus Areas - Eastern Lake Ontario

As part of the Great Lakes system, the eastern portion of Lake Ontario's shoreline is host to unique habitats to include streams, ponds, estuaries and embayments, as well as emergent marshes, forested and shrub swamps. Rare coastal fens including globally rare Alvar communities also exist in the region. The Eastern Lake Ontario barrier beach and coastal wetland complex includes a core of nearly 16,000 acres along 17 miles of Lake Ontario shoreline in Oswego and Jefferson Counties, New York.² Early detection of aquatic invasive species that have the potential to migrate into and out of these systems is an important element in preventing the spread of invasives throughout these regional ecosystems, the northeastern United States and the Great Lakes. Within the Eastern Lake Ontario region there are several significant tributaries that connect these ecosystems with Lake Ontario and inland waterways including linkages to the St. Lawrence River and the Erie Canal.

The sites initially chosen, represent ecologically, recreationally and culturally important waterbodies in the St. Lawrence and Eastern Lake Ontario (SLELO) Region. We chose a downstream and upstream site for each of the four rivers selected. Downstream and upstream sites within each river are separated by an impassable barrier (i.e. dam, management structure) with the exception of French Creek. Chaumont River upstream site was not sampled in the 2017 field season.

² Sargis, et.al. Invasive Species Management and Wetland Restoration in the Eastern Lake Ontario Barrier Beach and Coastal Wetland Complex. The Nature Conservancy. 274 N. Goodman St. Rochester, NY 14607

Salmon River

The Salmon River, located along the eastern shore of Lake Ontario, is a valuable cultural and natural resource worthy of protection from the habitat-altering impacts of invasive species. As a cultural resource, the Salmon River is a multi-million-dollar fishery hosting in excess of 100,000 angler visitors annually. Angling enthusiasts travel from numerous regions across the United States and Canada, including other parts of the world, to fish the river. Many local businesses benefit monetarily because of this resource.

This 17-mile river system is rich in habitat and diversity and provides, both in the upstream reaches and within the estuary, spawning and nursery grounds for Pacific salmon (Chinook, Coho and Steelhead) and the native Atlantic salmon. The estuary provides shorebird nesting sites for species such as the Black Tern and the Least Bittern.³

Oswego River

The Oswego River, located in Oswego County, is formed by the joining of the Oneida River and the Seneca River. The Oswego River is approximately 23 miles long from its beginning at Three Rivers to the City of Oswego where it empties into Lake Ontario. The Oswego River provides a route from the Erie Canal to Lake Ontario and vice versa⁴.

Chaumont Bay and Chaumont River

Chaumont Bay is a 9,000-acre embayment located on the east end of Lake Ontario. The bay receives waters from Guffen Creek, Three Mile Creek, and the Chaumont River, creating three

smaller embayment's within Chaumont Bay on the northeastern side. Chaumont River, being the largest tributary connects Lake Ontario with hundreds of miles of inland waterways.

French Creek

French Creek is the main tributary of the French Creek Wildlife Management Area near Clayton, New York. The main channel of French Creek is approximately 6 miles in length and connects the St. Lawrence River to inland waters. The Wildlife Management Area (WMA) is comprised of 2,300 acres and supports cattail marsh bordering open water areas.

Collaboration

Responding to the growing invasive species problem, New York State passed legislation in 2003 that created the New York Invasive Species Task Force (ISTF). The ISTF final report led to a 2008 statute, known as Title 17 of ECL Article 9, which established the New York Invasive Species Council and Invasive Species Advisory committee. The Council is co-led by the NYS Departments of Environmental Conservation (DEC) and the New York State Department of Agriculture and Markets. Among the Council's numerous statutory responsibilities is the requirement to encourage and support within available funds, Partnerships for Regional Invasive Species Management [PRISMs] in their efforts to address invasive species through coordination, recruitment, and training of volunteers and citizen scientists, education, early detection and rapid response.

The entire eastern portion of Lake Ontario is represented by one such collaborative partnership known as The St. Lawrence Eastern Lake Ontario Partnership for Regional Invasive Species Management (SLELO PRISM). The SLELO region encompasses a 7,387-square mile

³ Chapman, G and R.K.Williams. Managing Japanese Knotweed (*Polygonum cuspidatum*) in the Salmon River and Salmon River Estuary. 2012. The Nature Conservancy/SLELO PRISM.

⁴ <http://www.dec.ny.gov/outdoor/41044.html>

region and includes the counties of St. Lawrence, Jefferson, Lewis, Oneida and Oswego outside of the Adirondack Park. The SLELO region includes portions of the Lake Ontario watershed and shoreline and Oneida Lake. The northern and western end of the region corresponds to the county boundaries of Jefferson, St. Lawrence and Oswego Counties along the Lake Ontario coastline.

Project Objectives and Accomplishments

Under this project members of the SLELO partnership engaged citizen scientists, incorporated underwater video technology, exposed volunteers to the environmental DNA concept and its place in invasive species detection and developed a reference guide for other volunteer groups to participate.

Objective 1. Engage citizen scientists towards a better understanding of environmental DNA as an early detection tool.

Under this project volunteer citizen science teams (Figure 1) were utilized as a way to engage, learn and demonstrate that new technologies can be effectively used in early detection efforts. Citizen scientists were also given the opportunity to provide feedback as to the ease of implementation of both project components.

The value of utilizing citizen scientists exceeds scientific posterity and allows for a cost-effective means by which to conduct AIS early detection on a broader level because citizen scientist typically volunteer without compensation. Faculty at Cornell University have developed a stand-alone field kit including step-by-step instructions that allow citizen

scientists to conduct early detection using eDNA with nominal guidance. For this project a (modified) field kit was utilized to ensure quality control and to reduce cross contamination of samples. This capability allows for AIS early detection on a much broader scale whereby citizen scientists can adopt a local waterbody and implement eDNA sampling thus expanding early detection work to waterbodies that may otherwise not be searched due to insufficient funding, hence the production of our Citizen Science Reference Guide.

In 2016-17 a sufficient number of volunteer citizen scientists provided support to this project by assisting with sample collection and filtration and underwater video recording. Additional citizen science metrics (including cost metrics) are as follows:



Figure 1: Citizen volunteer assisting with eDNA sampling.

Number of volunteers = 8
Hours contributed = 47.5
Hourly rate equivalent = \$641.25

Environmental DNA

By convention, early detection of invasive species including aquatic invasive species typically relies on visual observation of the species causing harm or the symptoms thereof. Unfortunately, by the time an aquatic species is visually observed, the invasive has likely populated and their management becomes difficult at best. Genuine early detection means detecting the presence of a species before it has the opportunity to populate and cause irreplaceable harm to the ecosystem of concern.

During the summers of 2016-2017, partners of the SLELO PRISM along with The Nature Conservancy and the Department of Microbiology and Immunology at Cornell University, implemented a project to assess the feasibility of using environmental DNA or eDNA as an early detection tool for aquatic invasive species. 160 water samples were collected from four strategic locations (focus areas) along Eastern Lake Ontario and analyzed using highly specialized processes for the presence of genetic material released by both invasive and native aquatic animals. For this project, six invasive species of fish were targeted along with two native species (Table 1). The native species were included to validate qPCR⁵ methodology.

eDNA Sampling Protocol









500 mL of water was collected at each sampling point. A 1.5µm glass fiber filter was placed into the filter platform (Buchner filter funnel) and 300 mL of water was drawn through the filter using an attached vacuum hand pump. The filter was then placed in a tube of Longmire’s solution and kept on ice. Longmire’s solution is a cell lysis buffer that preserves DNA. This procedure traps cells from water samples in the filter and preserves genetic material for analysis in the laboratory. Field equipment required for this project included the following, also shown in (Table 2):

- 500 mL plastic sampling bottles
- 500 mL plastic vacuum flask with rubber stopper
- Buchner filter funnels
- 1.5µm glass fiber filters
- Vacuum hand pump
- Sample containers with Longmire’s solution
- Forceps
- Nitrile gloves

Table 1. Target Species for eDNA Analysis:	
Common name – invasive species	Scientific name
Northern snakehead	<i>Channa argus</i>
Round goby	<i>Neogobius melanostomus</i>
Bighead carp	<i>Hypophthalmichthys nobilis</i>
Black carp	<i>Mylopharyngodon piceus</i>
Grass carp	<i>Ctenopharyngodon idella</i>
Silver carp	<i>Hypophthalmichthys molitrix</i>
Common name – native species	Scientific name
Rock bass	<i>Ambloplites rupestris</i>
Lake Herring	<i>Coregonus artedii</i>

⁵ Quantitative polymerase chain reaction. A technique used in molecular biology to amplify a single copy of a segment of DNA

Table 2. Field equipment required for project.

500mL Sample bottle	500mL vacuum flask	Buchner filter funnels	1.5µm glass fiber filters	Vacuum hand pump	Sample containers w Longmire's	Forceps	Nitrile gloves
							

Laboratory Analysis

Samples were dropped off at the Cornell University Department of Microbiology and Immunology laboratory of Dr. James Casey the day after collection. Samples can also be mailed to the laboratory at a flat rate postage. The laboratory then extracted DNA from our sample filters and tested the DNA using qPCR methodology. We received all test results within ten days.

Genetic Markers

Genetic markers used on this project are mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase 1 gene extracted from tissue samples taken from target fish samples. Cornell designed the PCR primers and probes, tested these on target tissue DNA's and on eDNA that they collected from contaminated waters. Ten controls with varying amounts of target genetic material are run in each of our PCR plates along with duplicates using 1.2 micron, glass fiber filters.

The qPCR assay in our environmental DNA analysis targets mitochondrial sequences of the gene cytochrome oxidase I (COX1). This gene is extremely species specific, allowing us to identify DNA from our target species with a strong level of confidence. TaqMan (a common qPCR reagent) is used to flag these positive sequences within our samples. A fluorescent probe selectively binds only to DNA corresponding to the target COX1 sequence.

Different probes are used for each test species, as a probe is only specific to one species. Once the probe binds to a target sequence, the fluorescent unit of the probe detaches from the species-specific primer and fluoresces. The qPCR machine then records this fluorescence,

Volunteers who participated in sampling were able to quickly learn and complete the sampling procedure with minimal instruction. Concepts discussed were easily grasped, and volunteers understood the type of information that could be gained by extracting DNA from water.

and records the result. Fluorescence readings directly correspond to the quantity of target sequence present in the sample. Any recorded target sequence is considered a positive result. When we designate a result as a low-level positive, we are referring to a quantity reading of less than twenty copies of target sequence. Given the high sensitivity of qPCR, low-level positives are still considered detection events.

It is important to note that eDNA is not finite and is used as an indicator only. A positive test result indicates that a species might be present and that further testing and possible fish/organism sampling may be warranted.

Reporting

Results were received in several formats. This includes the plate layout (Table 3), amplification plots (Figure 2), standard curves (not referenced), and quantities of genetic copies of target species in a tabular format (Table 4). The amplification plots provide visual representation of target sequence amplification. Exponential curves indicate amplification of target sequence. Each qPCR test includes known standards tested alongside samples to extrapolate sample target DNA quantity, precision, and efficiency. Clear exponential curves that pass the algorithm determined threshold represent the successful amplification of standards and any positive detection results.

Table 3. Basic PCR plate layout - example

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Controls		C	C	C	C									
Controls		C	C	C	C									
Primary	E	p	p	p	p	p	p	p	p	p	p	p	p	p
Duplicate	F	d	d	d	d	d	d	d	d	d	d	d	d	d

PCR Plate

In the following example, the red horizontal line on the graph labeled .027945 represents a fluorescence threshold. The red lines that rise above the threshold represent the control DNA (actual DNA of the target species). Green lines that rise above the threshold represent matching DNA that is in the water sample. All other lines below the threshold are non-target DNA (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Example amplification plot.




Table 4 (below). Quantity of target genetic copies in tabular format.

Plate Well	Target	Quantity
10	Control	1,000,000
11	Control	1,000,00
12	Control	10,000
13	Actual	0
4	Actual	72.411
15	Actual	88.354
16	Actual	0
17	Duplicate	0
18	Duplicate	72.411
19	Duplicate	12.332
20	Duplicate	0

A standard curve (not shown) was developed for each sample tested. This is a regression line generated using the known concentrations of the standards. Using this regression model, test sample concentration can be extrapolated from fluorescence readings and the number of sample

target DNA copies is calculated. The tabular format shows the quantity of target species DNA in each sample (each sample is tested twice). Samples with blanks in the quantity columns are negatives, meaning no target species DNA was detected in the sample.

eDNA Results

Detection of round goby was very common, which is not surprising given their establishment throughout Lake Ontario. Native rock bass presence was less consistent than round goby presence, but was still a common occurrence. In 2016, a low-level amount of grass carp DNA was detected in a single sample from our Oswego River downstream site. In 2017, one low-level northern snakehead positive was observed from our Oswego River upstream site, and three low-level northern snakehead positives were observed from our Oswego River downstream site. All positive test results and corresponding locations for the duration of this project are presented in (Table 5).









Objective 2. Engage citizen scientists towards a better understanding of underwater video technology as a practical early detection tool.

Underwater Video Surveillance

As a component of this project initiative, underwater video technology was used not only as a hands-on citizen science tool but also to determine its practicality as an early detection tool.

The equipment used for this project component was a high resolution SeaViewer® underwater color video camera and recording unit. The unit was either suspended into the water column via cable or attached to a holding bracket when suspended into moving water for camera stability. This was done in such a way as to not disturb spawning areas.

Table 5. All positive test results and corresponding locations for the duration of this project

Key: OSD-Oswego River downstream. SRD-Salmon River downstream. CRD-Chaumont River Downstream. FCD-French Creek downstream. U indicates upstream sites. red=invasive, green=native, yellow=non-native						1 st impassible barrier on each stream			
Species	OSD	SRD	CRD	FCD	SRU	OSU	CRU	FCU	
 Bighead carp (<i>Hypophthalmichthys nobilis</i>)									
 Black carp (<i>Mylopharyngodon piceus</i>)									
 Grass carp (<i>Ctenopharyngodon idella</i>)	ⓘ								
 Silver carp (<i>Hypophthalmichthys molitrix</i>)									
 Northern snakehead (<i>Channa argus</i>)	Ⓡ					Ⓡ			
 Round goby (<i>Neogobius melanostomus</i>)	Ⓡ	Ⓡ	Ⓡ	Ⓡ	Ⓡ	Ⓡ			
 Lake Herring (<i>Coregonus artedii</i>)	Ⓢ		Ⓢ	Ⓢ					
 Rock bass (<i>Ambloplites rupestris</i>)	Ⓢ	Ⓢ	Ⓢ	Ⓢ	Ⓢ				

A small bait bag was suspended independently and in front of the camera lens. This was done to increase observations and to decrease time needed waiting for fish to move within viewing range of the camera. The lens and camera recorder (Figure 3) was originally to be deployed and monitored in two hour intervals, however, the time of suspension was reduced to 30 minute intervals because of time constraints by citizen science volunteers. An adjustable LED lighting system is included for dark and dirty water viewing. Frozen chicken wings were placed into the bait bag made from cheese cloth and weighted with small stones. The bait bag was suspended separately from the camera lens approximately 15 inches of distance from the camera lens. The camera lens and bait bag was positioned at or near the benthic area of the waterway. In some areas the lens and bait were placed just above stone substrate as to capture occurrences (if any) of Rusty Crayfish (*Orconectes rusticus*), Round Goby (*Neogobius melanostomus*) as well as native organisms.



Figure 3. Video recording unit. Displays footage in real time and records to SD card.

Site Selection & Video Analysis

Field sites were predominately selected to match eDNA sampling sites. However, a small number of sites where no eDNA sampling took place were selected for video in both the 2016 and 2017 field seasons. Videos were analyzed for species identification, and presence of species at each filming location was recorded. Notable excerpts from videos were edited into short videos.

Video Results

Common species, such as pumpkinseed sunfish, bluegills, and yellow perch (Figure 4), were seen regularly during filming. Round goby were extremely common, and were the most common invasive species sighted using underwater video. Video was captured of rust crayfish at Oneida Lake after confirmation of its presence by the 2017 early detection team. Other less common native species were recorded as well, including smallmouth bass (Figure 5), red horse and bowfin. Table 6, refers to the species identified with video throughout the term of this project.

Figure 4. Yellow Perch (*Perca flavascens*)



Figure 5. Smallmouth Bass (*Micropterus dolomieu*)



Discussion

Underwater video presents a uniquely visual method of connecting citizen scientists to freshwater ecosystems. Seeing in real-time, the species present in a waterbody is an appealing method of species monitoring. Video analysis promotes visual identification skills (of aquatic plants and animals) and allows citizen scientists to engage with wildlife professionals if they are unsure what species they have captured.

Video is time dependent. For effective coverage, longer recording time is recommended. Depending on the target species and biology of focal organisms, filming during different times of day may be effective. Video quality is also affected by water quality. Turbidity can decrease clarity and make visual identification difficult. Regardless of its limitations, video represents a stimulating and visual tool for citizen science

High definition underwater, color video cameras range in costs from a few hundred dollars up and most cameras are very easy to use by volunteers.

use. This tool can be used repeatedly over long periods of time to connect stewards and citizens with aquatic environments, while at the same time, monitoring for invasive species.

Table 6 – presents a summary of species observed using underwater video during the project term.

- Blacknose dace – *Rhinichthys atratulus*
- Bluegill – *Lepomis macrochirus*
- Pumpkinseed – *Lepomis gibbosus*
- Round Goby – *Neogobius melanostomus*
- Yellow Perch – *Perca flavascens*
- Brook Silverside – *Labidesthes sicculus*
- Crayfish (native) -*Decapoda spp.*
- Rusty Crayfish – *Orconectes rusticus*
- Sculpin – *Cottus sp.*
- Redhorse Spp. - *Moxostoma Spp.*
- Round Goby – *Neogobius melanostomus*
- Bowfin – *Amia calva*
- Largemouth Bass – *Micropterus salmoides*
- Smallmouth Bass - (*Micropterus dolomieu*)
- Mooneye – (*Hiodon tergisus*)
- Rock Bass – *Ambloplites rupestris*
- Freshwater Drum – *Aplodinotus grunniens*
- Common Minnow – *Phoxinus phoxinus*
- Common Musk Turtle – *Sternotherus odoratus*

Objective 3. Develop a Citizen Science Reference Guide for eDNA and underwater video early detection surveillance.

Working with other members of the SLELO partnership, this project serves as a pilot project that could be adopted by other partnerships and expanded to a broader scale, for example, use by lake associations. A deliverable of this project component was to develop a Citizen Science Reference Guide that could be used to guide other participants or programs towards developing a similar effort using either environmental DNA and/or underwater video technology. A Citizen Science Reference Guide has been prepared and is a separate document from this technical report. Copies of the guide can be downloaded from www.sleloinvasives.org or by contacting the SLELO PRISM Coordinator.

Financials

Total project costs to deliver this initiative was 95% of the total grant which is less than originally projected. The lower costs were associated with reduced laboratory costs that were estimated greater than the actual delivery costs. We used the best information available at the time of proposal development to determine expenses.

Field Operations

The majority of the field data collected throughout this project was collected by seasonal field staff and volunteers. At the start of each field season staff were trained on project protocols, sample collection, camera deployment and data management. In addition to field training, crews were trained on safe use of equipment, organizational policies and procedures along with first aid/CPR training.

Conclusions

With today's approaches working with eDNA is relatively easy. Collecting and filtering water samples and sending the samples to a lab is straight forward and easy to implement by volunteer citizen scientists. The laboratory (which you will need) is tasked with processing the samples and reporting the results. Costs for laboratories varies, in many cases however, samples can be processed for under \$150.00 per sample which includes multiple species. A lake association, for example, could collect 10 samples each year for an approximate cost of \$1,500. Some university programs also supply a box containing all field sampling equipment along with instructions, at no or little cost.

Using underwater video technology can be an exciting way to engage citizen scientists in aquatic invasive species observations. Real time video allows for close observation of aquatic plants and animals. During the term of this

project, citizen scientists were able to identify several aquatic macrophytes in addition to fish and crayfish. Recorded video can be a practical means by which to taxonomically determine fish species passing the viewing range of the video camera and the videos can be preserved as historical documents. This technology offers citizen science teams a (hands-on) and (real-time) means by which to embrace technology and to test its usefulness as an aquatic invasive species early detection tool. High definition underwater, color video cameras range in cost from a few hundred dollars up and most cameras are very easy to use.

Using environmental DNA and underwater video technology can be practical early detection tools for aquatic invasive species. Both techniques are easy to implement with the caveat being that you will need a laboratory that conducts qPCR. Costs vary but can be found at reasonable rates that are not exuberant.